

# WORLDPUBLICOPINION.ORG

## World Public Opinion on Energy

November 19, 2008

\* Data listed as Hong Kong, Macau, and Taiwan are not included in the averages displayed.

1. I would like you to consider different ways to deal with the problem of energy. For each one please tell me if you think our country should emphasize it more, less, or the same as now

a. Installing solar or wind energy systems

	Emphasize more	Emphasize less	Same as now	DK/NS
<b>Argentina</b>	82	4	6	8
<b>Mexico</b>	86	4	6	3
<b>US</b>	87	5	6	3
<b>France</b>	88	3	9	0
<b>Germany</b>	82	5	12	2
<b>Britain</b>	81	6	10	4
<b>Italy</b>	88	7	4	2
<b>Poland</b>	85	7	1	7
<b>Russia</b>	50	4	12	35
<b>Ukraine</b>	67	6	7	20
<b>Azerbaijan</b>	64	10	13	14
<b>Jordan</b>	76	11	3	10
<b>Palest. Ter.</b>	59	30	8	4
<b>Turkey</b>	84	4	3	9
<b>Kenya</b>	88	11	0	1
<b>Nigeria</b>	77	17	4	3
<b>China</b>	84	4	4	8
<b>Hong Kong*</b>	59	16	18	8
<b>Macau*</b>	64	9	15	12
<b>India</b>	62	13	16	10
<b>Indonesia</b>	64	16	8	13
<b>S Korea</b>	89	2	9	1
<b>Taiwan*</b>	82	2	10	5
<b>Thailand</b>	75	7	5	13
<b>Average</b>	77	8	7	8

b. Modifying buildings to make them more energy efficient

	<b>Emphasize more</b>	<b>Emphasize less</b>	<b>Same as now</b>	<b>DK/NS</b>
<b>Argentina</b>	80	4	8	8
<b>Mexico</b>	83	7	7	3
<b>US</b>	83	4	11	2
<b>France</b>	89	2	9	1
<b>Germany</b>	85	6	7	1
<b>Britain</b>	89	2	8	2
<b>Italy</b>	88	8	3	1
<b>Poland</b>	83	9	1	7
<b>Russia</b>	58	8	14	20
<b>Ukraine</b>	66	6	7	21
<b>Azerbaijan</b>	60	17	17	6
<b>Jordan</b>	69	16	6	10
<b>Palest. Ter.</b>	54	31	10	4
<b>Turkey</b>	83	6	2	9
<b>Kenya</b>	75	23	2	1
<b>Nigeria</b>	55	28	12	5
<b>China</b>	80	6	4	10
<b>Hong Kong*</b>	51	16	23	11
<b>Macau*</b>	56	10	18	15
<b>India</b>	54	17	19	11
<b>Indonesia</b>	55	24	8	12
<b>S Korea</b>	85	3	10	2
<b>Taiwan*</b>	73	4	16	8
<b>Thailand</b>	73	8	6	13
<b>Average</b>	74	11	8	7

c. Building coal or oil-fired power plants

	<b>Emphasize more</b>	<b>Emphasize less</b>	<b>Same as now</b>	<b>DK/NS</b>
<b>Argentina</b>	60	14	14	13
<b>Mexico</b>	46	39	12	5
<b>US</b>	25	49	22	4
<b>France</b>	28	46	21	4
<b>Germany</b>	9	62	27	2
<b>Britain</b>	28	40	24	8
<b>Italy</b>	38	46	11	5
<b>Poland</b>	27	28	28	17
<b>Russia</b>	19	38	23	21
<b>Ukraine</b>	30	27	22	22

<b>Azerbaijan</b>	45	31	15	9
<b>Jordan</b>	63	19	7	11
<b>Palest. Ter.</b>	46	35	14	5
<b>Turkey</b>	52	26	11	11
<b>Kenya</b>	69	23	5	4
<b>Nigeria</b>	56	28	11	6
<b>China</b>	42	36	11	11
<b>Hong Kong*</b>	23	30	34	12
<b>Macau*</b>	30	28	25	16
<b>India</b>	36	27	24	13
<b>Indonesia</b>	50	24	10	15
<b>S Korea</b>	31	33	32	4
<b>Taiwan*</b>	29	34	27	10
<b>Thailand</b>	41	19	13	28
<b>Average</b>	40	33	17	10

d. Building nuclear energy power plants

	<b>Emphasize more</b>	<b>Emphasize less</b>	<b>Same as now</b>	<b>DK/NS</b>
<b>Argentina</b>	55	21	12	12
<b>Mexico</b>	32	50	12	7
<b>US</b>	42	31	24	3
<b>France</b>	26	41	32	1
<b>Germany</b>	14	63	22	1
<b>Britain</b>	41	29	22	8
<b>Italy</b>	52	33	8	6
<b>Poland</b>	32	12	37	18
<b>Russia</b>	27	33	22	18
<b>Ukraine</b>	9	49	20	22
<b>Azerbaijan</b>	36	32	16	17
<b>Jordan</b>	58	21	9	12
<b>Palest. Ter.</b>	41	34	18	7
<b>Turkey</b>	48	26	12	14
<b>Kenya</b>	57	29	8	6
<b>Nigeria</b>	56	25	9	11
<b>China</b>	63	10	6	20
<b>Hong Kong*</b>	22	35	32	11
<b>Macau*</b>	23	44	17	15
<b>India</b>	51	16	17	17
<b>Indonesia</b>	23	40	10	27
<b>S Korea</b>	55	13	28	5
<b>Taiwan*</b>	40	21	30	9

<b>Thailand</b>	22	31	10	37
<b>Average</b>	40	30	17	13

2. Do you favor or oppose the government doing each of the following:

a. Requiring utilities to use more alternative energy, such as wind and solar, even if this increases the cost of energy in the short run

	<b>Favor</b>	<b>Oppose</b>	<b>DK / NS</b>
<b>Argentina</b>	70	17	13
<b>Mexico</b>	58	38	5
<b>US</b>	66	28	6
<b>France</b>	88	10	3
<b>Germany</b>	62	36	2
<b>Britain</b>	75	19	6
<b>Italy</b>	67	29	4
<b>Poland</b>	66	15	19
<b>Russia</b>	36	36	28
<b>Ukraine</b>	56	11	33
<b>Azerbaijan</b>	48	43	8
<b>Jordan</b>	77	13	10
<b>Palest. Ter.</b>	71	27	2
<b>Turkey</b>	71	12	17
<b>Kenya</b>	87	13	1
<b>Nigeria</b>	71	26	4
<b>China</b>	75	11	14
<b>Hong Kong*</b>	78	15	7
<b>Macau*</b>	76	13	11
<b>India</b>	63	20	17
<b>Indonesia</b>	65	13	22
<b>S Korea</b>	96	4	1
<b>Taiwan*</b>	88	9	3
<b>Thailand</b>	73	9	17
<b>Average</b>	69	20	11

b. Requiring businesses to use energy more efficiently, even if this might make some products more expensive

	<b>Favor</b>	<b>Oppose</b>	<b>DK / NS</b>
Argentina	63	24	13
Mexico	47	49	4
US	61	34	5
France	72	25	3

Germany	57	40	3
Britain	79	17	5
Italy	69	27	4
Poland	50	26	24
Russia	28	43	29
Ukraine	46	17	38
Azerbaijan	38	55	7
Jordan	62	27	11
Palest. Ter.	53	44	2
Turkey	63	18	19
Kenya	71	28	1
Nigeria	49	46	5
China	66	16	18
Hong Kong*	71	18	10
Macau*	71	18	12
India	62	25	14
Indonesia	37	47	16
S Korea	74	24	3
Taiwan*	80	15	5
Thailand	65	17	18
<b>Average</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>11</b>

c. Having an extra charge for the purchase of models of appliances and cars that are NOT energy efficient

	<b>Favor</b>	<b>Oppose</b>	<b>DK / NS</b>
<b>Argentina</b>	62	25	13
<b>Mexico</b>	39	57	4
<b>US</b>	43	52	5
<b>France</b>	60	38	2
<b>Germany</b>	43	54	3
<b>Britain</b>	59	36	5
<b>Italy</b>	69	28	3
<b>Poland</b>	37	36	26
<b>Russia</b>	37	33	30
<b>Ukraine</b>	32	22	47
<b>Azerbaijan</b>	43	47	10
<b>Jordan</b>	37	52	11
<b>Palest. Ter.</b>	38	58	4
<b>Turkey</b>	56	26	19
<b>Kenya</b>	74	25	2
<b>Nigeria</b>	44	46	11
<b>China</b>	48	33	19

<b>Hong Kong*</b>	55	36	9
<b>Macau*</b>	53	35	12
<b>India</b>	47	27	26
<b>Indonesia</b>	61	21	18
<b>S Korea</b>	53	43	4
<b>Taiwan*</b>	55	40	5
<b>Thailand</b>	19	64	17
<b>Average</b>	48	39	13

3. As you may know there is some controversy about the possibility of making a major shift to alternative energy sources, such as wind and solar. Which view is closer to yours?

	<b>A. This would cost so much money that it would hurt the economy</b>	<b>B. With the rising cost of energy, it would save money in the long run</b>	<b>DK / NS</b>
<b>Argentina</b>	21	66	13
<b>Mexico</b>	18	73	10
<b>US</b>	18	79	3
<b>France</b>	12	83	5
<b>Germany</b>	31	65	4
<b>Britain</b>	13	79	8
<b>Italy</b>	18	74	8
<b>Poland</b>	6	77	17
<b>Russia</b>	27	45	29
<b>Ukraine</b>	14	54	33
<b>Azerbaijan</b>	33	52	15
<b>Jordan</b>	21	61	18
<b>Palest. Ter.</b>	40	55	4
<b>Turkey</b>	19	57	24
<b>Kenya</b>	34	64	2
<b>Nigeria</b>	32	63	6
<b>China</b>	8	78	14
<b>Hong Kong*</b>	10	83	7
<b>Macau*</b>	9	79	12
<b>India</b>	29	51	19
<b>Indonesia</b>	15	59	27
<b>S Korea</b>	12	86	2
<b>Taiwan*</b>	7	84	9
<b>Thailand</b>	13	59	28
<b>Average</b>	21	66	14

# WORLDPUBLICOPINION.ORG

## RESEARCH PARTNERS

Country	Research Center	Contact
Argentina	<a href="#">Graciela Romer y Asociados</a>	Ms. Graciela C. Römer <a href="mailto:graciela@romer.com.ar">graciela@romer.com.ar</a> (+54-11) 4345-2864/5
Azerbaijan	International Center for Social Research	Dr. Tair Faradov <a href="mailto:tfaradov@yahoo.com">tfaradov@yahoo.com</a> (+99 412) 492 27 34/672 22 49
China	<a href="#">Fudan Media and Public Opinion Research Center (FMORC), Fudan University</a>	Dr. Baohua Zhou <a href="mailto:zhoubaohua@yeah.net">zhoubaohua@yeah.net</a>
Hong Kong	<a href="#">Hong Kong University Public Opinion Programme</a>	Dr. Robert Chung <a href="mailto:robert.chung@hku.hk">robert.chung@hku.hk</a> +852 2859-2988
Macau	<a href="#">University of Macau</a>	Dr. Angus, W.H. Cheong <a href="mailto:anguswhc@umac.mo">anguswhc@umac.mo</a> +853 8397 4437
Taiwan	<a href="#">TVBS</a>	Mr. Yeh-Diing Wang <a href="mailto:ydwang@tvbs.com.tw">ydwang@tvbs.com.tw</a> +886-2-23568961
France	<a href="#">Efficiencie 3</a>	Mr. Samuel Lee <a href="mailto:samuel.l@efficiencie3.com">samuel.l@efficiencie3.com</a> +33 3 26 79 03 59
Germany	<a href="#">Ri*Questa GmbH</a>	Dr. Bernhard Rieder <a href="mailto:riquesta.rieder@t-online.de">riquesta.rieder@t-online.de</a> +49 (0)7641 934336
Great Britain	<a href="#">Chatham House (Royal Institute of International Affairs) / Facts International</a>	Dr. Robin Niblett <a href="mailto:rniblett@chathamhouse.org.uk">rniblett@chathamhouse.org.uk</a> +44 (0)20 7957 5702
India	<a href="#">Centre for Voting Opinion &amp; Trends in Election Research (CVoter)</a>	Mr. Yashwant Deshmukh <a href="mailto:yashwant@teamcvoter.com">yashwant@teamcvoter.com</a> 91 120 4247135
Indonesia	<a href="#">Synovate</a>	Ms. Eva Yusuf <a href="mailto:Eva.Yusuf@synovate.com">Eva.Yusuf@synovate.com</a> (+62-21) 2525 608
Italy	<a href="#">Demoskopoea</a>	Ms. Clara Mariotti <a href="mailto:mariotti@demoskopoea.it">mariotti@demoskopoea.it</a> +39 06 85.37.52.26
Jordan	<a href="#">Center for Strategic Studies, University of Jordan</a>	Dr. Fares Braizat <a href="mailto:f.braizat@gmail.com">f.braizat@gmail.com</a> (+962 6) 5300100

Kenya	<a href="#">Research Path Associates Limited</a>	Mr. Stephen Dimolo Ashers <a href="mailto:steve.ashers@rpa.co.ke">steve.ashers@rpa.co.ke</a> +254-20-2734770
Mexico	<a href="#">Reforma</a>	Dr. Alejandro Moreno <a href="mailto:alejandro.moreno@reforma.com">alejandro.moreno@reforma.com</a> +52 56 28 72 35
Nigeria	Market Trends Research International	Mr. Michael Umogun <a href="mailto:m.umogun@research-intng.com">m.umogun@research-intng.com</a> + 234-1 791 79 87
Palestinian territories	<a href="#">Palestinian Center for Public Opinion</a>	Dr. Nabil Kukali <a href="mailto:kukali@p-ol.com">kukali@p-ol.com</a> (+972-2) 2774846
Poland	<a href="#">CBOS</a>	Dr. Mirosława Grabowska <a href="mailto:m.grabowska@cbos.pl">m.grabowska@cbos.pl</a> (+0-22) 693 47 25 / 693 46 93
Russia	<a href="#">Levada Center</a>	Ms. Ludmila Khakhulina <a href="mailto:lkhahul@levada.ru">lkhahul@levada.ru</a> (+7 095) 229-55-44
South Korea	<a href="#">East Asia Institute</a>	Dr. Han Wool Jeong <a href="mailto:hwjeong@eai.or.kr">hwjeong@eai.or.kr</a> +82 02-2277-1683
Turkey	<a href="#">ARI Foundation</a> / <a href="#">Infakto Research Workshop</a>	Mr. Yurter Ozcan <a href="mailto:Yurter@arifoundation.org">Yurter@arifoundation.org</a> +1 (804) 868 0123 Dr. Emre Erdogan <a href="mailto:emre.erdogan@infakto.com.tr">emre.erdogan@infakto.com.tr</a> +90 212 231 07 08
Thailand	<a href="#">ABAC Poll Research Center,</a> <a href="#">Assumption University</a>	Dr. Noppadon Kannika <a href="mailto:noppadonknn@au.edu">noppadonknn@au.edu</a> +66-2-719-1550
Ukraine	<a href="#">Kiev International Institute of Sociology</a>	Dr. Vladimir Illich Paniotto <a href="mailto:paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua">paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua</a> (+38) 044 537-3376 / (+38) 044 501-7403
United States	<a href="#">Program on International Policy Attitudes</a> / <a href="#">Knowledge Networks</a>	Dr. Stephen Weber <a href="mailto:sweber@pipa.org">sweber@pipa.org</a> +1-202-232-7500 Dr. Michael Dennis <a href="mailto:mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com">mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com</a> +1-650-289-2160



## METHODOLOGY

<b>Country</b>	<b>Sample Size (unweighted)</b>	<b>MoE (%)</b>	<b>Field dates</b>	<b>Survey methodology</b>	<b>Type of sample</b>
Argentina	679	3.8	August 20-29, 2008	Face-to-face	Urban <sup>1</sup>
Azerbaijan	600	4.1	August 10-31, 2008	Face-to-face	National
China	1011	3.2	Jul 26 – Aug 2, 2008	Telephone	National <sup>2</sup>
<i>Hong Kong</i>	<i>1008</i>	<i>3.1</i>	<i>Aug 27 – Nov 4, 2008</i>	<i>Telephone</i>	<i>Representative of Hong Kong</i>
<i>Macau</i>	<i>1089</i>	<i>3.0</i>	<i>August 11-20, 2008</i>	<i>Telephone</i>	<i>Representative of Macau</i>
<i>Taiwan</i>	<i>823</i>	<i>3.5</i>	<i>August 22-31, 2008</i>	<i>Telephone</i>	<i>Representative of Taiwan</i>
France	600	4.1	August 5 - 12, 2008	Telephone	National
Germany	1008	3.1	Jul 15 – Aug 12, 2008	Telephone	National
Great Britain	803	3.5	Jul 31 – Aug 8, 2008	Telephone	National
India	1118	3.0	Aug 30 – Sep 2, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>3</sup>
Indonesia	716	3.7	Jul 26 – Aug 18, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>4</sup>
Italy	600	4.1	July 16-30 and Sep 4-10, 2008	Telephone	National
Jordan	583	4.1	August 12-15, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Kenya	1000	3.2	July 17-30, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Mexico	850	3.4	August 9-10, 2008	Telephone	National <sup>5</sup>
Nigeria	1000	3.2	August 9-18, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>6</sup>
Palestinian territories	638	4.0	August 1-7, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>7</sup>
Poland	1094	3.0	Jun 30 – Jul 8, 2008	Face-to-face	National

Russia	800	3.5	August 15-20, 2008	Face-to-Face	National
South Korea	600	4.1	August 28-29, 2008	Telephone	National
Thailand	2223	2.1	September 1-25, 2008	Face-to-face	National <sup>8</sup>
Turkey	1023	3.1	Jul 28 – Aug 18, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Ukraine	1043	3.1	Aug 30 – Sep 9, 2008	Face-to-face	National
United States	889	3.4	August 9-20, 2008	Internet <sup>9</sup>	National

<sup>1</sup> In Argentina, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Capital Federal and Gran Buenos Aires, representing 35 percent of Argentina's population.

<sup>2</sup> In China, the survey was a probability sample of urban and rural households with land-line telephones in the provinces of Anhui, Hebei, Heilongjiang, Hubei, Jiangsu, Shanxi, Shanghai, Sichuan, and Yunnan—representing approximately 60 percent of the mainland Chinese population. The sample was 40 percent rural, 60 percent urban (rural households make up approximately 55 percent of the population).

<sup>3</sup> In India, a face-to-face survey was conducted in urban and rural areas in 14 of the largest Indian states; these states comprise 77 percent of India's population. The sample is 60 percent urban, India's population is approximately 30 percent urban.

<sup>4</sup> In Indonesia, a national probability sample was conducted in both urban and rural areas and covering approximately 87 percent of Indonesia's population.

<sup>5</sup> In Mexico, a random telephone sample of adults who had landline telephones was conducted in all 31 states and the Federal District. Telephone penetration in Mexico is 55 percent.

<sup>6</sup> In Nigeria, the sample was developed by selecting six states, one per geographic region, based upon their size and representativeness. Within each state, sampling points were selected by means of a multi-stage random sample which disproportionately sampled urban areas. The final sample is 75 percent urban; Nigeria is approximately 50 percent urban.

<sup>7</sup> In the Palestinian territories, a face-to-face national probability survey was conducted among the population of the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip.

<sup>8</sup> In Thailand, the survey was conducted in 9 provinces of the country including Bangkok, Samutprakarn, Chanthaburi, Khonkaen, Chiang Mai, Kamphaengphet, Sakonnakhon, Chumphon, and Songkhla.

<sup>9</sup> In the United States, the poll was an online survey drawn from a nationally representative sample of the Knowledge Networks online panel. This panel is probabilistically-based, selected from the population of US telephone households and subsequently provided with an Internet connection if needed.