World Public Opinion. org

World Publics on Eliminating All Nuclear Weapons

December 9, 2008

Q34: Now I would like you to consider a possible international agreement for eliminating all nuclear weapons. All countries with nuclear weapons would be required to eliminate them according to a timetable. All other countries would be required not to develop them. All countries, including [country], would be monitored to make sure they are following the agreement. Would you favor or oppose such an agreement?

	Strongly favor	Somewhat favor	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	DK / NS
Argentina	85	8	2	2	3
Mexico	70	17	7	3	3
US	39	38	13	7	2
France	58	28	7	5	3
Britain	55	26	9	8	2
Russia	38	31	8	6	16
Ukraine	53	27	5	2	14
Azerbaijan	48	22	8	14	8
Egypt	39	44	7	10	0
Iran	50	18	8 13	5 12	19 8
Israel	42	25			
Pakistan	20	26	21	20	13
Palest. Ter.	33	37	14	8	9
Turkey	55	10	5	5	24
Kenya	68	28	2	1	1
Nigeria	55	31	8	4	2
China	60	23	9	5	3
India	31	31	11	9	18
Indonesia	60	21	6	5	9
S Korea	53	33	11	4	1
Thailand	45	22	4	4	25
Average	50	26	9	7	9

RESEARCH PARTNERS

	Research Center	Contact		
Argentina	Graciela Romer y Asociados	Ms. Graciela C. Römer graciela@romer.com.ar (+54-11) 4345-2864/5		
Azerbaijan International Center for Social Research		Dr. Tair Faradov tfaradov@yahoo.com (+99 412) 492 27 34/672 22 49		
China	WorldPublicOpinion.org	Dr. Stephen Weber sweber@pipa.org +1 202 232 7500		
Egypt	Attitude Market Research	Mr. Mohamed Al Gendy mgendy@attitude-eg.com +202 22711262		
France	Efficience 3	Mr. Samuel Lee samuel.1@efficience3.com +33 3 26 79 03 59		
Great Britain	Chatham House (Royal Institute of International Affairs) / GlobeScan	Dr. Robin Niblett rniblett@chathamhouse.org.uk +44 (0)20 7957 5702 Mr. Lloyd Hetherington lloyd.hetherington@globescan.com +1 416 962 0707		
India	Centre for Voting Opinion & Trends in Election Research (CVoter)	Mr. Yashwant Deshmukh yashwant@teamcvoter.com 91 120 4247135		
Indonesia	Synovate	Ms. Eva Yusuf Eva.Yusuf@synovate.com (+62-21) 2525 608		
Iran	WorldPublicOpinion.org	Dr. Stephen Weber sweber@pipa.org +1 202 232 7500		
Israel	Tami Steinmetz Center for Peace Research / Evens Program for Conflict Resolution and Mediation, Tel Aviv University	Prof. Tamar Hermann tamarhe@gmail.com (+972-3) 6424298 / 6406762 Prof. Ephraim Ya'ar-Evens Program for Conflict Resolution and Mediation, eppie@post.tau.ac.il (+972-3) 6407381		
Kenya	Research Path Associates Limited	Mr. Stephen Dimolo Ashers steve.ashers@rpa.co.ke +254-20-2734770		
Mexico	Reforma	Dr. Alejandro Moreno alejandro.moreno@reforma.com +52 56 28 72 35		
Nigeria	Market Trends Research International	Mr. Michael Umogun m.umogun@research-intng.com + 234-1 791 79 87		

	I	T	
Pakistan	Pakistani Institute of Public Opinion	Fatima, Idrees (Ms.) Project Manager Phone: +92-51-2825745 Fax : +92-51-2827417 Email: fatima.idrees@gallup.com.pk	
Palestinian Territories Palestinian Center for Public Opinion		Dr. Nabil Kukali kukali@p-ol.com (+972-2) 2774846	
Russia Levada Center		Ms. Ludmila Khakhulina lkhahul@levada.ru (+7 095) 229-55-44	
South Korea East Asia Institute		Dr. Han Wool Jeong hwjeong@eai.or.kr +82 02-2277-1683	
Thailand	ABAC Poll Research Center, Assumption University	Dr. Noppadon Kannika noppadonknn@au.edu +66-2-719-1550	
Turkey	ARI Foundation / Infakto Research Workshop	Mr. Yurter Ozcan Yurter@arifoundation.org +1 (804) 868 0123 Dr. Emre Erdogan emre.erdogan@infakto.com.tr +90 212 231 07 08	
Ukraine	Kiev International Institute of Sociology	Dr. Vladimir Illich Paniotto paniotto@kmis.kiev.ua (+38) 044 537-3376 / (+38) 044 501- 7403	
United States	Program on International Policy Attitudes / Knowledge Networks	Dr. Stephen Weber sweber@pipa.org +1-202-232-7500 Dr. Michael Dennis mdennis@knowledgenetworks.com +1-650-289-2160	

METHODOLOGY

	Sample Size (unweighted)	MoE (%)	Field dates	Survey methodology	Type of sample
Argentina	679	3.8	August 20-29, 2008	Face-to-face	Urban ¹
Azerbaijan	602	4.1	Jan 13 – Feb 5, 2008	Face-to-face	National
China	1000	3.2	Jan 10-25, 2008	Telephone	Urban/ National ²
Egypt	600	4.0	Jan 17-27, 2008	Face-to-face	Urban ³
France	600	4.1	Feb 5-11, 2008	Telephone	National
Great Britain	800	3.5	Jan 29 – Feb 19, 2008	Telephone	National
India	1023	3.2	February 25-29, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁴
Indonesia	811	3.5	Jan 19-29, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁵
Iran	710	3.8	Jan 13 – Feb 9, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Israel	596	4.1	February 4-6, 2009	Telephone	National ⁶
Kenya	1000	3.2	July 17-30, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Mexico	850	3.4	Jan 25-27, 2008	Telephone	National ⁷
Nigeria	1000	3.2	February 7-18, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁸
Pakistan	1467	2.6	April-May 2008	Face-to-face	National
Palestinian Territories	626	4.0	February 10-23, 2008	Face-to-face	National ⁹
Russia	800	3.5	Jan 18-22, 2008	Face-to-Face	National
South Korea	600	4.1	Feb 11-12, 2008	Telephone	National
Thailand	2699	1.9	Apr 21 – May 6, 2008	Face-to-face	National ¹⁰

Turkey	719	3.7	Jan 12-24, 2008	Face-to-face	National
Ukraine	1020	3.1	Feb 8-18, 2008	Face-to-face	National
United States	940	3.3	Jan 18-27, 2008	Internet ¹¹	National

¹ In March 2008, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Capital Federal, Gran Buenos Aires, Cordoba, Mendoza, and Rosario, representing 39 percent of Argentina's population. In August 2008, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Capital Federal and Gran Buenos Aires, representing 35 percent of Argentina's population.

² In January 2008, the survey was a national probability sample of urban telephone households across China. A stratified PPS sample design was developed to sample 20 cities; urban households represent approximately 45 percent of the Chinese population. In August 2008, the survey was a probability sample of urban and rural households with land-line telephones in the provinces of Anhui, Hebei, Heilongjiang, Hubei, Jiangsu, Shanxi, Shanghai, Sichuan, and Yunnan—representing approximately 60 percent of the mainland Chinese population. The August sample was 40 percent rural, 60 percent urban (rural households make up approximately 55 percent of the population).

³ In Egypt, the survey was executed in the urban areas of Cairo, Alexandria, Giza, and Subra. These four urbanized areas represent75 percent of Egypt's urban population, which is 42 percent of the national population.

⁴ In India, a face-to-face survey was conducted in urban and rural areas in 14 of the largest Indian states; these states comprise 77 percent of India's population. The sample is 60 percent urban, India's population is approximately 30 percent urban.

⁵ In Indonesia, a national probability sample was conducted in both urban and rural areas and covering approximately 87 percent of Indonesia's population.

⁶ In Israel the telephone interviews included 596 interviewees, (506 were Jewish) who represent the adult Jewish and Arab population of Israel (including the territories and the kibbutzim).

⁷ In Mexico, a random telephone sample of adults who had landline telephones was conducted in all 31 states and the Federal District. Telephone penetration in Mexico is 55 percent.

⁸ In Nigeria, the sample was developed by selecting six states, one per geographic region, based upon their size and representativeness. Within each state, sampling points were selected by means of a multi-stage random sample which disproportionately sampled urban areas. The final sample is 75 percent urban; Nigeria is approximately 50 percent urban.

⁹ In the Palestinian Territories, a face-to-face national probability survey was conducted among the population of the West Bank, including East Jerusalem, and the Gaza Strip.

¹⁰ In May 2008, the survey was conducted in 10 provinces of the country including Bangkok, Samutprakarn, Chantaburi, Ratchaburi, Chiang Mai, Kampangpet, Kornkean, Sakonnakorn, Chumporn, and Songkla. In September 2008, the survey was conducted in 9 provinces of the country including Bangkok, Samutprakarn, Chanthaburi, Khonkaen, Chiang Mai, Kamphaengphet, Sakonnakhon, Chumphon, and Songkhla.

¹¹ In the United States, the poll was an online survey drawn from a nationally representative sample of the Knowledge Networks online panel. This panel is randomly selected through telephone interviews from the population of telephone households in the US, and subsequently provided with Internet access if needed.